

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	•				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/932,622	2,622 08/17/2001		William R. Kowalski	2001-5	6302
Martin E. Hsia	7590	01/14/2008		EXAM	INER
P. O. Box 939			MAHAFKEY, KELLY J		
Honolulu, HI 96808-0939				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1794	-
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•			01/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/932,622	KOWALSKI, WILLIAM R.			
Of	ffice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kelly Mahafkey	1794			
	MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHICHEVE - Extensions of after SIX (6) N - If NO period for - Failure to repl Any reply received	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY RIS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAY time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. For reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we year within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, exived by the Office later than three months after the mailing a term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			•			
2a)⊠ This a 3)□ Since close	this application is in condition for alloward in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of						
4a) Of 5) ☐ Claim 6) ☑ Claim 7) ☐ Claim	n(s) 1-4,6-9,13,17,18,20,24 and 103-109 is fit the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn (s) is/are allowed. n(s) 1-4,6-9,13,17,18,20,24 and 103-109 is n(s) is/are objected to. n(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. s/are rejected.				
Application Pa	pers	·				
10)☐ The d Applic Repla 11)☐ The o	pecification is objected to by the Examine rawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptant may not request that any objection to the cement drawing sheet(s) including the correct ath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2.	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
•	35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice of Dr. 3) Information	ferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) //Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number:

09/932,622 Art Unit: 1794

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's amendments made 11/5/07 have been entered. Claims 1-4, 6-9, 13, 17, 18, 20, 24, and 103-109 remain pending.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action. It is noted that the rejection below was necessitated by amendments.

The previous 112 first paragraph rejections of claims 1-4, 6-9, 17, 18, 20, 24, and 103-109 due to the recitation "meat" or "live animal" has been withdrawn in light of applicant's amendments made 11/5/07.

Claims 1-4, 6-9, 13, 17, 18, 20, 24, and 103-109 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claims 1-4 and 107 -109 recite, "wherein solely said fish's membranes act to super-purify said smoke"; and claims 18 and 103-106 recite, "wherein solely water and said fish's membranes act to super-purify said smoke". While the specification has support to enable one of skill in the art to use both the water and the fish's membranes to purify smoke, applicant's specification does not enable one of skill in the art to use solely the fish's membranes to purify the smoke, as in the instantly claimed invention, the smoke must first pass through water, which as taught by applicant will super purify the smoke. Furthermore, it is unclear as to how one of skill in the art would use the invention wherein the smoke will be super-purified only by the water and the fish's membranes as other parts of the fish would also be expected to super-purify the gas.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action. It is noted that the rejection below was necessitated by amendments.

Application/Control Number:

09/932,622 Art Unit: 1794

Claims 1-4, 6-9, 13, 17, 18, 20, 24, and 103-109 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hisateru in view of Yamaoka et al. (US 5484619). The references and rejection are incorporated herein and as cited in the office action mailed November 2, 2006. Regarding applicant's newly added limitation, that solely the fish's membranes or solely the fish's membranes and the water solely act to super-purify the smoke, as all of the active method steps claimed are taught by the references of record and one of ordinary skill in the art would expect the same results as instantly claimed, including the same natural purification systems as instantly claimed, absent any clear and/or convincing arguments and/or evidence to the contrary.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed November 5, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the references of record do not teach, "preventing smoke flavor from entering the meat of the fish". Applicant further argues that "preventing smoke flavor from entering the meat of the fish" is an unexpected result and a new function of the method as taught by Hisateru in view of Yamaoka. As previously stated, this argument is not convincing as all of the active method steps claimed are taught by the references of record and thus one of ordinary skill in the art would expect the same results as instantly claimed, absent any clear and/or convincing arguments and/or evidence to the contrary.

At the present time, applicant has submitted several prior art references which teach that it was known for fish meat to absorb smoke flavor when smoke is imparted to a fish. Applicant's argument is not convincing, as applicant does not show the references of record do not teach that the smoke flavor would be imparted into the fish meat. Furthermore, it is again noted by the examiner, that the same active method steps as instantly claimed are taught by the references of record, and as such it is unclear as to how, when performing the same active method steps one would arrive at two different results.

Application/Control Number: 09/932,622

Art Unit: 1794

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kelly Mahafkey whose telephone number is (571) 272-2739. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Keith Hendricks can be reached on (571) 272-1401. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Lien Tran/ Primary Examiner Group 1700 Kelly Mahafkey Examiner Art Unit 1794

2